

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tispto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/800,566	09/800,566 03/06/2001		Robert Olan Keith JR.	ABREAU-00107	4072		
28960	7590	12/28/2005		EXAMINER			
HAVERSTOCK & OWENS LLP 162 NORTH WOLFE ROAD				NGUYEN, CAM LINH T			
SUNNYVAI				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				2161			

DATE MAILED: 12/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/800,566	KEITH, ROBERT OLAN				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		CamLinh Nguyen	2161				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SH THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 O	<u>ctober 2005</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1 - 7, 9 - 15, 17 - 23, 25 - 29, and 31 - 32</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8,16,24 and 30</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1 - 7, 9 - 15, 17 - 23, 25 - 29, and 31 - 32</u> is/are rejected.						
Applicati	on Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) 🗌	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)[/ a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment	• •						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2161

DETAILED ACTION

1. In view of Appeal Brief filed on 10/11/2005, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. New grounds of rejection are set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
 - (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

2. Applicant's amendments to claims 1-32 are acknowledged. Currently, claims 1-7, 9-15, 17-23, 25-29, and 31-32 are pending.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground

Art Unit: 2161

provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1-7, 9-15, 17-23, 25-29, and 31-32 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-2, 4-13, 15-24, 26-26, 38-45 of copending Application 09/800,607; claims 1, 4-15, 17-25, 28-39, 41-49, 52-63, 65-73, 76-87, 89-96 of copending Application No. 09/801,138; claims 1-49 of copending Application No. 09/801,072; claims 1-4, 6-15, 18-27, 30-39, 42-51 of copending Application No. 09/801,076; claims 1-37 of copending Application No. 09/800,592; claims 1, 3-12, 14-23, 25-34, 36-42 of copending Application No. 09/799,032; Claims 1, 4-11, 14-21, 24-31, and 34-38 of copending Application No. 09/801,140.

Claims Comparison Table

	⁶⁰⁷	' 076	'138	' 072	'140			
Claim	s							
	1	1	1	1	1			
	·607	' 032	·592	' 566				
Claims								
	1	1	1	1				

Art Unit: 2161

Most limitations in instant application can be found on copending '056, '592, '032, '072, '138, '076, '140. For instance:

A query language string limitation is found in the instant applicant but not in other applications. However, other limitations such as "formatting a searchable database", "accessing a node", " or utilizing a search module" can be found in other applications. The query language string is a command string and is not distinct from other command entered by the user. Therefore, the Examiner considers the query language string is equivalent with other command that is entered by the user.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it would have been prima facie obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to broaden the invention because this provides a wider application of the invention with no additional cost in development.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 1, 9, 17, 25, 31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 2161

When regarding in light of Fig. 1, the claim language "utilizing a search module" in limitation (b) should be "utilizing a research module" since the invention, especially in Fig. 1,describes five modules; namely Keyword search module, Parametric search module, Dichotomous key module, Hierarchical tree module. It is not clear which search module that is being referred by in claim 1. Therefore, renders the claim vague and indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1-7, 9-15, 17-23, 25-29, and 31-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wesinger Jr. et al (U.S. 5,778,367) in view of Anthony Stuart (U.S. 5,613,110).
- \bullet As per claims 1, 9, 17, 25, 31 32,

Wesinger teaches a method of accessing information in a searchable database comprising:

- "The searchable database is formatted in a directory tree structure" See col. 10, lines 61 –
 62 of Wesinger.
- "The directory tree structure includes nodes ... branches" See col. 10, lines 61 65. Each category corresponds to a node. All nodes are linked together.

Application/Control Number: 09/800,566

Art Unit: 2161

- "Categorizing each item of data by a navigation path through the directory tree structure and by one or more parameters" see col. 4, lines 39 – 50, col. 6, lines 44 - 50 of Wesinger.

Page 6

- "Each parameter is set with a corresponding value associated with the data item thereby forming a set parameter" see col. 10, lines 65 col. 11, lines 4 of Wesinger.
- "An external application different than the research system accessing one or more nodes... and obtaining data from the one or more node by utilizing an application programming interface associated with the research system" See Fig. 1A, element 103.

 An "application programming interface" corresponding to the server 105.
- Users access the directory by a query. The query includes keywords that defined the navigation path. Users access the directory by a query (see col. 10, lines 65 col. 11, lines 4 of Wesinger. A user can specify the resource by selecting a category and set up one or more set parameters); therefore the navigation path is defined by a query language string.
- "Utilizing a search module including keyword search, hierarchical search, parametric search, and dichotomous key search, wherein accessing each of the nodes within the directory tree structure each of the search methodologies including keyword search, hierarchical search, parametric search, and dichotomous key search, are available" See Fig. 2H. In fig. 2H, Wesinger also allows the users multiple search methods, including:
 - Keyword search
 - Hierarchical search corresponds to categories search.
 - Parametric search corresponds to Example search.

Art Unit: 2161

Wesinger clearly discloses a query language string which is a command string written according to a query language (See col. 4, lines 8 – 11 of Wesinger).

The Wesinger reference fails to disclose the dichotomous key search. However, this method search is a well known in the art. Stuart provides an example of it.

Stuart teaches that a dichotomous key search is used to search for data in the database (See Abstract).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of Stuart into the invention of Wesinger because Wesinger suggested that multiple search method are available for user and the combination would reduce the memory access when using binary search, and providing user more search methodologies.

- As per claims 2, 10, 18, 26, the combination of Wesinger and Stuart disclose:
 - "The applications programming interface accesses the one or more nodes... using a query string defining a navigation path" Wesinger clearly discloses a query language string which is a command string written according to a query language (See col. 4, lines 8 11 of Wesinger).
- As per claims 3, 11, 19, 27, the combination of Wesinger and Stuart disclose:
 - "Links to other nodes within the directory tree structure, links to web sites external to the electronic system" See col. 4, lines 39 50, col. 6, lines 44 50 of Wesinger.
- ◆ As per claims 4, 12, 20, 28, the combination of Wesinger and Stuart disclose:
 - "The searchable database is distributed into more than one physical location" See Fig. 1A, wherein more than one databases are presented.
- As per claims 5 7, 13 15, 21 23, 29, the combination of Wesinger and Stuart disclose:

Art Unit: 2161

- "Accessing one or more nodes is performed by a server" See Fig. 2K, col. 5, lines 53 – 55

of Wesinger.

"Establishing an Internet connection with the server" See Fig. 1A- 1B of Wesinger.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7, 9-15, 17-23, 25-29, and 31-32

have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to CamLinh Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272 - 4024.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on (571) 272 - 4023. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571 - 273 - 8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nguyen, Cam-Linh

Art Unit 2161

SAFET METJAHIC
PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2200